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Abstract
The Global Grid advocates the connection of all regional power systems into one electricity transmission system spanning the whole globe. Power systems are currently forming larger and larger interconnections. Environmental awareness and increased electricity consumption leads more investments towards renewable energy sources, abundant in remote locations (off-shore or in deserts). The Global Grid will facilitate the transmission of this “green” electricity to load centers, serving as backbone.

This chapter elaborates on the concept presenting four stages that could gradually lead to the development of a globally interconnected power network. Quantitative analyses are carried out for all stages, demonstrating that a Global Grid is both technically feasible and economically competitive. Real price data from Europe and the USA are used to identify the potential of intercontinental electricity trade, showing that substantial profits can be generated through such interconnections.
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1. Introduction

Increased environmental awareness has led to concrete actions in the energy sector in recent years. Examples are the European Commission’s target of 20% participation of renewable energy sources (RES) in the EU energy mix by 2020 \cite{1} and California’s decision to increase renewable energy in the state’s electricity mix to 33% of retail sales, again by 2020 \cite{2}. At the same time, several studies have been carried out investigating the possibilities of a higher share of renewables in the energy supply system of the future. For instance, the German Energy Agency (DENA) assumes 39% RES participation by 2020 \cite{3}, while a detailed study from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory suggests that meeting the US electricity demand in 2050 with 80% RES supply is a feasible option \cite{4}. In [5], a 100% renewable energy supply system in Europe with interconnections in North Africa and West Asia is discussed. More recently, Jacobson and Delucchi \cite{6} investigated “the feasibility of providing worldwide energy for all purposes (electric power, transportation, heating/cooling, etc.) from wind, water, and sunlight”. The authors made a detailed analysis and proposed a plan for implementation. They found that the barriers to the deployment of this plan are not technological or economic, but rather social and political.

All these studies suggest that the development of the electricity network will play a crucial role in the efficient integration of increasing shares of renewables. Two are the reasons most often mentioned. First, interconnecting RES increases the reliability in supply. Second, long transmission lines can help harvest renewable energy from remote locations with abundant potential and very low production costs. In order to exploit the benefits of such interconnections concrete actions have been taken, which will lead to the creation of regional Supergrids. EU Guidelines already encourage transmission projects such as the Baltic Ring \cite{7}. Projects such as Medgrid (www.medgrid-psm.com), and OffshoreGrid (www.offshoregrid.eu) have been launched, in order to interconnect Mediterranean states with Europe and transfer renewable energy to the major load centers. At the same time, initiatives such as Gobitec (www.gobitec.org) in Asia and Atlantic Wind Connection (www.atlanticwindconnection.com) in the USA aim to interconnect the Asian power grids or transmit off-shore wind energy to the US East Coast.

In Ref. \cite{8} we suggested the next natural step of the electricity network: the Global Grid. With growing electricity demand, the need for green energy resources will also increase. The electricity networks will expand in order to harvest the renewable potential abundant in remote locations, forming Supergrids of increasing size. The Global Grid aims at interconnecting the regional Supergrids into one global electricity network. High capacity long transmission lines will interconnect wind farms and solar power plants, supplying load centers with green power over long distances. Besides introducing the concept, in Ref. \cite{8} we further highlighted the multiple opportunities emerging from it. We supported our analysis with studies on the economic feasibility of such a concept and we discussed possible investment mechanisms and operating schemes.

In this chapter, we introduce and elaborate on four possible
stages that could gradually lead to the development of a globally interconnected power network. We extend our analysis with additional studies on the economic competitiveness of long transmission lines in different world regions and we show that substantial profits can arise from intercontinental electricity trade.

Section 2 introduces the four possible development stages followed by a brief illustration of the concept as we have envisioned it in Section 3. Sections 4–6 describe in detail all four development stages and provide examples with quantitative analyses for each stage. In Section 7 we briefly discuss the additional opportunities emerging from a Global Grid. We conclude this chapter with Section 8.

2. Stages towards a Global Power Grid

We expect that three main reasons will act as the major incentives towards the creation of a globally interconnected network. First, the need to harvest remote renewable energy resources, either further off-shore or in deserts, will lead to continuously expanding regional Supergrids. Second, taking advantage of the shift in peak demand periods between continents, remote RES plants located in similar distances from two regions can connect and sell their power always at peak price. Third, the time zone diversity between the continents creates opportunities for electricity arbitrage, which can lead to profitable electricity trade. Based on these reasons, in this section we present four main stages we envision leading to the development of a Global Grid environment.

The main driving force behind a Global Grid will be the harvesting of remote renewable resources. In the search for green electricity, new sites will be exploited, even further from the load centers and the current power grids. Examples are deserts such as the Sahara or the Gobi for solar power plants; and on-shore or off-shore locations with high winds such as at the shores of Greenland, or in the Indian Ocean for wind farms. This constitutes the first stage towards a Global Grid. Our cost-benefit analysis in this chapter will focus on the wind potential of such remote locations.

By building wind farms and solar parks in remote locations, a point will be reached, where a RES power plant will be in equal distance from two power systems on different continents. A wind farm in Greenland, for instance, would be a realistic example of such a situation. Our analysis in Ref. [8] showed that connecting such a wind farm to both Europe and North America is a profitable solution. In this second development stage of the Global Grid, remote RES power plants can take advantage of the time difference between the continents in order to sell their power always at peak prices. For example the wind farm in Greenland can sell its produced power 50% of the time during the peak demand in Europe and 50% of the time during the peak demand in North America. From there, an interconnected global power grid can start to form.

Having an electrical connection between two continents, opportunities for electricity trade emerge, signaling the progression to the third stage. Wind (and solar) production is intermittent, not utilizing the total cable capacity most of the time. In addition, peak demand between the continents is shifted in time, which leaves room for electricity arbitrage. Therefore, electricity can be bought at lower prices in one area and sold at higher prices during peak demand in other areas. In Section 5.2, we explore the electricity trade potential for the connection Europe–North America over Greenland, utilizing the remaining cable capacity.

As we will see in Section 5.2 the transmission corridor enabling electricity trade between the continents can result in substantial profits. Based on that, in the fourth stage of the development towards a Global Grid, direct interconnections between countries or continents can start to be built, independent from their connection to remote areas with high RES potential. Such an analysis for a cable between Europe and North America has already been carried out in Ref. [8], showing that except for the most expensive RES generators, it would be more economical for the US to import RES power from Europe than operate its own fossil-fuel power plants. Here, we extend this analysis considering real electricity prices in Germany and the USA and examining the amortization period of such an investment.

Introducing the four development stages helps create a path leading to a Global Grid environment. It does not imply, nevertheless, that global interconnections will move through the four stages in a sequential manner. For example, the direct interconnections in the fourth stage can directly occur after the first stage; or the second and third development stage can occur simultaneously, e.g. as we will see in Section 5 an off-shore wind farm can connect to both continents in order to sell at peak prices and, at the same time, benefit from the electricity trade.

3. The Global Grid: An Illustration

Before continuing our analysis, the current section is devoted to a brief description of the Global Grid as we envision it. This will hopefully produce a better understanding of this concept, first proposed in [8]. Figure 1 illustrates a possible global grid. We envision that the power supply of the Global Grid will depend on renewable energy sources. Large renewable potential exists in remote locations, such as in deserts or off-shore. Long HVDC lines will constitute the main arteries in a Global Grid environment, transmitting bulk quantities of power over long distances. HVDC lines are superior to AC technologies for long-distance transmission, as they exhibit lower losses, they provide active and reactive power support, and can connect non-synchronous grids. Issues pertaining to the power generation and transmission of the Global Grid are described in more detail in Ref. [8].

4. Harvesting RES from remote locations

Tapping the renewable potential in Greenland, as mentioned in Section 2, would be a realistic example of how we could progress to global interconnections. Greenland has been selected in Ref. [8] as a representative example for three reasons. First, it has a significant wind and hydro potential [6], [9]. Second, it is close to Iceland; Ref. [10] has already shown that the Iceland-UK interconnection is a viable option, while
the two governments are currently discussing its possible real-
ization [11]. Third, all interconnecting sections along this
route have comparable lengths or sea depth to currently existing
projects (see [8] for more details). Important is also that Green-
land lies at equal distance from both Europe and North Amer-
ica. Later in this chapter we will extend our analysis on Green-
land by exploring the possibilities for intercontinental trade.

In this section, we will move to the southern hemisphere,
and we will study in more detail a wind farm on the Kerguelen
islands. These are a group of islands located in the southern In-
dian Ocean and belonging to France. Their climate is similar to
Iceland and Falkland islands, with an average temperature be-
tween 0 °C and 10 °C [12]. Kerguelen islands are characterized
by the high continuous winds they receive, having an average
speed of about 9.7 m/s. In about 312.9 days a year they expe-
rience wind gusts above 16 m/s, from which during 68.1 days
the gusts exceed 28 m/s in speed [13]. This is expected to have
a substantial effect on the wind farm capacity factor, which is
estimated at 60%-70%³.

Kerguelen islands are located approximately in equal dis-
tance between South Africa and Australia (about 4000 km to
South Africa and about 4150 km to Western Australia). In order
to account for the less favorable case, in this analysis we focus
on the connection to South Africa assuming a cable length of
4150 km. In this way, we can also gain insights for a possible
connection of the wind farm to Australia. Based on our calcu-
lations, detailed in Appendix A, the cable costs for a 4150 km
route from Kerguelen islands would lie between 0.019 USD and
0.054 USD per delivered kWh, dependent on the capacity fac-
tor of the wind farm. The cost for wind generation for 2020 and
beyond has been projected in Ref. [14]. Onshore wind costs
are estimated to start from below 0.04 USD per delivered kWh,
while offshore wind is projected to cost between 0.08 – 0.13
USD per delivered kWh. All cost projections in Ref. [14] as-
sumed a wind capacity factor of 40%. Given the strong winds
that Kerguelen islands receive, the wind capacity factor in that
region is likely to be around 60%. Furthermore, with an area
of over 7,000 km² and only 100 residents, there is plenty of
space available for onshore wind farms. Due to economies of
scale, the wind turbine production, transportation, and instal-
lation costs should also decrease. As a result, we expect that
the wind production costs can start from as low as US$0.02 per
kWh for onshore and should not exceed US$0.09 per kWh for
offshore wind farms⁴.

Figure 2 presents the total cost per delivered kWh, includ-
ing both production and transmission to South Africa, plotted
against varying wind production costs. In the graph we account
for three different capacity factors of the wind farm, i.e. 40%,
50%, and 60%, as well two cable cost projections: a high-cost
and a low-cost. Each colored area represents a different capac-
ity factor, with the lower costs per delivered kWh correspon-
ding

³Wind turbines are typically designed to reach their rated output power for
wind speeds in the range between 14 m/s and 25 m/s. Due to lack of addi-
tional wind data for Kerguelen islands, we assume that the wind turbines to
be installed there will be designed such that the cut-out speed will be around
28 m/s.

⁴Kerguelen islands have resulted from volcanic formations. In such cases
the sea depth increases quickly a few miles off the coast. Therefore, we expect
that the majority of the wind farms installed on the Kerguelen islands would be
onshore.
Figure 2: Wind farm on Kerguelen islands supplying South Africa. Total cost per delivered kWh (production & transmission) for varying wind energy production costs, wind capacity factors, and cable costs. Each colored area corresponds to a different capacity factor and presents the cost spectrum depending on varying cost projections for the cable transmission and the wind power production. With Wind-SA-Bid are shown the constant prices per kWh that wind power producers in South Africa will receive, as determined in two rounds of a competitive bid process.

to a capacity factor of 60% and the higher costs corresponding to a capacity factor of 40%. The bottom border of each colored area represents the low-cost cable projections, while the top border stands for the high-cost cable projections. As a result, the total wind production and transmission costs will lie somewhere within a colored area depending on the wind energy production costs and the cable costs. Beside the total costs for wind, in Fig. 2 we also plot the expected revenues per kWh for wind energy production, as these were determined from the South African government in 2011. In August 2011, South Africa launched a competitive bidding process for renewables. Two rounds of bids took place and the selected projects are expected to be commissioned by June 2014 (June 2015 for Concentrated Solar Power Plants) [15]. The average indexed bid prices for wind, expressed in USD, were 0.11 USD/kWh in the first round and 0.09 USD/kWh in the second round. These prices are also plotted as lines in Fig. 2.

As can be observed, for a wind capacity factor of 60%, the wind farm on Kerguelen islands can compete with the local South African wind farms, if the wind energy production costs are below 0.085 USD/kWh considering the low-cost cable projection and revenues equivalent to the first round of bids in South Africa. In case of higher cable installation costs, the wind energy production costs should fall below 0.075 USD/kWh. Given the high wind potential of this region, and the fact that the wind farm can be built on the island, the wind energy production costs, even under today’s standards, are not expected to surpass these values e.g. 0.075-0.085 USD/kWh. With decreasing capacity factors the cable costs per transmitted kWh increase. As a result, the wind energy production costs should also decrease so that the wind farm remains competitive with the South African wind farms. With a 40% capacity factor, as we observe in Fig. 2, the wind production costs should not exceed 0.033–0.055 USD/kWh in the worst case (depending on the revenues obtained through the first or second round of bids in South Africa). Taking into account that Ref. [14] projects the production costs for onshore wind farms to start below 0.040 USD/kWh, values such as 0.033/kWh can be highly probable by 2020 and beyond.

Being already connected to South Africa, the wind farm can also connect to Australia at a later stage, in order to take advantage of the time zone diversity and also facilitate electricity trade. As the distance from Kerguelen Islands to Australia is similar to the distance to South Africa, the cost projections, as shown in Fig. 2, are also valid for the cable connection to Australia.

5. Interconnecting two continents over remote RES locations

In our analysis in Ref. [8], we assumed a 3 GW wind farm off the east shores of Greenland is feasible and that some investors have decided to connect the wind farm with a 3 GW line to Europe through Iceland and the Faroe Islands. We investigated whether a connection to North America would be profitable, taking into account two effects. First, due to the time zone dif-
ference the wind farm will be able to sell its produced power always at peak prices, e.g., 50% of the time to Europe and 50% of the time to the USA. Second, by creating a link between Europe and the USA, over Greenland, opportunities for electricity trade between the continents emerge. As, the wind farm can produce power for a limited amount of time (we assume a capacity factor of 40%), the cable capacity can be reserved for electricity trade for the remaining hours.

5.1. Offering RES power at peak prices

In our analysis in [8], we estimated that the cable can deliver about 20 TWh per year – taking into account the transmission losses. From this, about 10 TWh are allocated to the wind farm production. This means that for about 50% of the time the cable capacity is available for electricity trade.

By building the transmission route Greenland – USA, we found out that the costs per delivered kWh for the wind farm would increase by 21%-25%, assuming production costs of 0.06 USD/kWh\(^7\). If off-peak prices are half of peak prices, the revenues will increase by 31%-33% which results in additional profits of 7%-12% for the wind farm, as shown in Table 1.

5.2. Intercontinental electricity trade

In this section, we focus on the electricity trade opportunities that emerge from the connection of the wind farm to both continents. Our analysis is based on real price data for the year 2012. We obtained the hourly spot prices from the European Power Exchange in Germany [16] and the PJM Interconnection in the USA [17]. Due to the time zone difference between the two continents, the two electricity markets experience at different times their peak and lowest prices. Our analysis is detailed in Appendix B.2. We mainly examine two levels of utilization: 30% and 50%. As already mentioned, 50% corresponds to the maximum utilization rate of the cable for electricity trade (the rest is used in order to transfer the power produced by the wind farm). We also investigate a lower utilization rate of 30%, in order to account for a less favorable case (e.g. reduced availability of the cable or of excess renewable energy). Our investigations show that through the revenues generated from the electricity trade, the route between Greenland and North America can be amortized within 10-12 years with a 50% utilization, and within 14-17 years if only 30% of the time the cable is used for electricity trade. Translating these results into profits, we find out that by exercising electricity trading during 30% of the time, the net profits\(^6\) will increase by 24%-27% in comparison with the case where the wind farm sells its wind power only to the UK and earns a profit of 0.06 USD for each delivered kWh\(^7\). For exercising electricity trade during 50% of the time, the profits can increase up to 42%. Table 1 summarizes our results.

Concluding, it seems that being connected to both continents would be a profitable solution for the wind farm in Greenland. In the last two sections, we investigated two possible sources of income created by building a transmission route from Europe to the USA over a wind farm in Greenland. First, selling the produced wind power always at peak prices, either in Europe or in the USA. Second, by trading electricity between the continents. Both options result independently to a profitable operation for the wind farm.

6. Intercontinental interconnections by direct lines

In Ref. [8], we carried out an analysis about the expected transmission costs per delivered kWh. We estimated the cost of a 5500 km, 3 GW submarine cable to be in the range between € 0.0165 and € 0.0251 per kWh delivered, and we found out that, except for the most expensive RES generators, it seems that it would be more economical for the US to import RES power from Europe than operate its own fossil-fuel power plants\(^5\).

Here, we extend our analysis in order to estimate if the cost for a long submarine cable could be amortized through the revenues arising by the electricity trade between the two continents. Again, we used the hourly spot prices for 2012 provided by PJM in the USA and EPEX in Germany [17], [16]. In our calculations we accounted for the transmission losses incurred by an 8000 km long corridor connecting the USA with Germany. We further assumed that before the realization of a direct submarine cable between the two continents, there will already exist long HVDC lines on land in both Europe and the USA. Therefore, we considered that the investment costs of this project correspond to the direct submarine cable between Europe and the USA, having a length of 5500 km and a capacity of 3 GW. In our analysis presented in Appendix B.1, we estimate that for an 80% utilization of the cable (i.e. the cable is used only 80% of the time), the amortization period ranges between 18 and 28 years. For the less favorable case where the cable utilization is 50%, the amortization period increases to about 23-35 years, depending on the cost projections. Although such amortization periods might not be most attractive for private investors, these results highlight that from a social welfare point of view, such a cable is beneficial for the society.

---

\(^5\)As already mentioned, Ref. [14] projects production costs of less than 0.04 USD/kWh for onshore and 0.08-0.13 USD/kWh for offshore wind farms by 2020. By assuming higher production costs, variations in transmission costs affect less the final cost per delivered kWh. In order to account for the less favorable case, we assumed lower production costs, allowing the transmission cost increase to play a more significant role in the final cost.

\(^6\)The investment costs of the additional cable have been deducted from the electricity trade revenues.

\(^7\)The profits from the intercontinental electricity trade are positive, i.e. the revenues surpass substantially the investment costs for the additional line in all cases. However, the increase in the final profit depends on the wind farm profits. Selling wind energy at higher prices to the UK results in lower variation of the total profit from the electricity trade revenues. In accordance with Section 5.1, where the production costs are assumed equal to 0.06 USD/kWh, profits of 0.06 USD/kWh imply a sell price of 0.12 USD/kWh. Here, we account again for the less favorable case in our calculations, assuming that the wind farm generates substantial profits by selling the produced power at a price twice its marginal cost.

\(^8\)The study is based on electricity generation from conventional sources estimated in Ref. [14] with fuel cost projections based on Ref. [18]. Unconventional sources of oil and gas such as oil sands and natural gas shales are also considered in the projections of Ref. [18].
Table 1: Wind Farm in Greenland: Summary of the cost-benefit analysis results for connecting the wind farm to the USA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmission Route: Europe – USA over Greenland</th>
<th>Total Cable Energy Capacity: 20 TWh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind Farm Production</td>
<td>Electricity Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization (% of total time)(^a)</td>
<td>~10 TWh (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits Increase</td>
<td>7% – 12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) The wind farm is located in the middle of the path Europe-USA. As a result, it incurs only half of the transmission losses. That means that the same amount of power, e.g., 10 TWh, can be delivered in less time, resulting to a lower utilization factor of the transmission path.

7. Discussion

In the previous sections, we saw how intercontinental interconnections can take advantage of the time zone difference and smooth out electricity supply and demand. In this way, excess electricity production will not be irrevocably lost, but transmitted where it is most needed. Besides that, there are several additional opportunities that emerge from such a concept. In the following we provide a brief overview of them. For a more detailed analysis, referring to both the benefits and challenges that arise, the interested reader can refer to [8].

7.1. Minimizing Power Reserves

With the increasing penetration of RES, the necessary control reserve capacity is expected to increase [19]. Global interconnections can offer such services. Taking advantage of the time zone diversity, they can reserve control capacity in areas having lower electricity demand and offer it at locations which experience their peak demand at the same time. Offering an additional source of control power – supplementary to the control reserve options available in each control area – significant cost savings could emerge, as the building of additional “peaking” gas power plants for balancing renewable energy could be avoided. This has been investigated by the authors in [20] who compared the necessary conventional power plants in the presence or not of interconnecting lines between regions. Their results for both the European and a potential global grid showed that through interconnections the need for dispatchable conventional power plants could be reduced by two to eight times.

7.2. Alleviating the Storage Problem

Bulk quantities of storage will be necessary for absorbing non-transmissible power and relieving congestion (e.g., [3]). The HVDC links of the Global Grid have the potential to alleviate the storage problem in future power systems by absorbing excess power (i.e., with a low price) and injecting it into regions where it is needed more. In terms of efficiency, the losses of an Ultra High Voltage DC line (e.g., ±800 kV) amount to about 3% for every 1000 km [21]. This would imply that a 6000 km HVDC line with the current technology has a better efficiency than pump-hydro or compressed-air energy storage.

7.3. Additional Benefits

Global Grid interconnections present additional opportunities that can prove beneficial to power systems. For example, they have the potential to reduce the volatility of electricity prices. They also allow the transmission of bulk power quantities with less power losses. Power system security can also be enhanced through such interconnections, in two ways. First, they provide additional pathways for the power to flow. Second, due to the HVDC technology, they offer independent active and reactive power control, and can act as a firewall between the systems they interconnect, not allowing disturbances to spread.

8. Conclusions

The Global Grid advocates the connection of all regional power systems into one electricity transmission system spanning the whole globe [8]. Power systems are currently forming larger and larger interconnections, while ongoing projects plan to supply, e.g., Europe with “green power” from the North Sea. Environmental awareness and increased electricity consumption will lead more investments towards renewable energy sources, abundant in remote locations (off-shore or in deserts). The Global Grid will facilitate the transmission of this “green” electricity to the load centers, serving as a backbone.

Having already introduced the concept in Ref. [8], this chapter presented four possible stages that could gradually lead to the development of a globally interconnected power network. Building long transmission lines in order to harvest remote renewable resources will be the main driving force in the first stage of the Global Grid development. From there, a point will be reached, where a RES power plant will be in equal distance from two power systems on different continents. Connecting the RES power plant to both continents in order to sell the produced power always at peak prices – taking advantage of the time zone diversity – will mark the second development stage of the Global Grid. As RES power plants usually have a capacity factor below 50%, the remaining cable capacity can be used for intercontinental electricity trade. This signals the progression into the third development stage. As long as the profits from electricity trade are substantial, in the fourth development stage direct interconnections between countries or continents can start to be built. Introducing the four development stages
helps to lay out a path leading towards a global grid environment. Nevertheless, the progression into these stages does not need to happen in a sequential manner, e.g. the fourth stage can follow directly the first, or the second and third stage can occur simultaneously.

Quantitative analyses for all four development stages have been provided in this chapter, showing that global interconnections can be both technically feasible and economically competitive. Exploring the possibility of a wind farm in Kerguelen Islands in the Indian Ocean, we showed that it can provide renewable energy to South Africa at a cost competitive to the local wind farms. At a later stage, this wind farm can connect to Australia, leading to intercontinental interconnections. We further estimated that connecting a wind farm in Greenland to both continents results in a profit increase of 7%–12%. At the same time, the remaining cable capacity leaves room for intercontinental electricity trade. Based on real prices from Germany and PJM in the USA for 2012, we found out that electricity trade results to additional profits of 24%–42%. We concluded our calculations by conducting a cost-benefit analysis for a direct submarine cable between Europe and the USA. The revenues from the intercontinental electricity trade, based again on real prices, result in an amortization period of 18-35 years for the cable investments, depending on the cable utilization factor. This highlights that from a social welfare point of view such a cable is beneficial for the society. Based on the results detailed in this chapter, additional studies are necessary on a technical, economical and societal level. The research community and the industry should also be encouraged to actively participate in order to identify the challenges and develop the solutions that could lead to a Global Grid.

Appendix A. Cable Cost Projections

In Ref. [8], we carried out a detailed analysis on the projected costs of a long HVDC submarine cable. In this work, we will adopt the same cost considerations. We will assume a 3000 MW, ±800 kV submarine cable. We selected the ±800 kV option, as we believe that for long-distance transmission, higher voltage levels will be adopted. We distinguish between two cost alternatives for the submarine cables. As a high-cost case, we assume a cost of €1.8 million/km for our 3000 MW line, the same as what was suggested in [22] for a 5000 MW sea cable. As a low-cost case, we assume the maximum cost of the completed HVDC projects (up to 2012 as presented in [8]). This is €1.15 million/km. The rest of the cost assumptions will be the same for both cases. Concerning the VSC converters, due to the higher voltage and the large capacity of the line, we assume the cost of each terminal converter to be €300 million. For additional details, the reader can refer to [8].

Kerguelen Islands. For the connection of Kerguelen Islands to South Africa we assume a 3000 MW, ±800 kV submarine cable with a length of 4150 km. Based on the cost assumptions above and in Ref. [8], Table A.2 presents the transmission costs per delivered kWh for different wind farm capacity factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A.2: Kerguelen Islands rightarrow South Africa: Transmission Cost per delivered kWh (in USD).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cable Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Europe – North America over Greenland. For the route over Greenland, besides HVDC cables, the building of HVDC overhead lines will also be necessary. Thus, for HVDC overhead lines, we assume a cost of €600 million/1000 km, as also suggested by [23] and [14]. The detailed cost analysis can be found in Ref. [8].

Europe – North America through a direct submarine cable. We assume a 3000 MW, ±800 kV submarine cable with a length of 5500 km. Note that the distance from Halifax, Canada to Oporto, Portugal is 4338 km, while the distance from New York City to Oporto is 5334 km.

Appendix B. Electricity Trade between Europe and the USA – Detailed Analysis

Appendix B.1. Direct submarine cable

In this section we detail the analysis we carried out in order to investigate the possibilities for electricity trade between Europe and the USA. Due to the time zone difference, the peak demand and, thus, the peak prices are shifted in time. Opportunities for electricity trade emerge. Our analysis is based on real hourly price data we obtained for Germany in Europe and the PJM interconnection in the USA for the year 2012. For Germany we took the hourly spot prices for 2012 from the European Power Exchange (EPEX) [16]. For PJM we took the real-time prices, and specifically the system energy price, i.e. the price component which is the same over the whole PJM area ignoring cost of congestion and losses [17]. We assume that the two power systems are connected through an 8000 km line, from which 5500 km correspond to the submarine cable between Oporto and New York, and the rest 2500 km correspond to an HVDC corridor between Oporto and Germany. We further assume that by the time the investment for the intercontinental cable takes place, there will already exist several HVDC interconnections within Europe as well as within the USA. These could be used for the power transfer between Oporto and Germany. Therefore, as investment costs we assume the cost of the submarine cable between Oporto and New York. Still, our calculations take into account the incurred losses along the total length of the corridor, i.e. the 8000 km.

We assume a time difference of 6 hours between Germany and the US east coast where the PJM interconnection is located. The hourly prices in Euros are transformed to US dollars through average monthly exchange rates for 2012. In our anal-

Source: http://www.x-rates.com/average/?from=EUR&to=USD&amount=1&year=2012
ysis we assume that the investment takes place in 2012 but the revenues are generated during the next 40 years, as this is the expected cable lifetime [24], [25], [10]. As data were available only for 2012, we assume that the prices over the next 40 years will be similar to 2012, considering a discount rate of 3%, as suggested by [26, p. 9], and an inflation rate of 2.5%. We distinguish between three different utilization rates for the cable: 30%, 50%, and 80%. This reflects the equivalent amount of hours per year during which the cable is used. For example, 30% utilization means that the cable transmits power up to its full capacity during 30% of the time. For a 30% utilization, electricity is traded when the price difference is above 35 USD, for 50% utilization the trade takes place for prices above 23 USD, and for 80% utilization the minimum price difference is 10 USD.

Table B.3 presents the amortization period for the submarine cable. The cable generates revenues by buying electricity with a low marginal price in one continent and selling it at higher system marginal price to the other continent. As it can be observed, for a 50% and 80% utilization of the cable the payback time is less than the minimum cable lifetime, for both the high-cost and the low-cost scenario. For a utilization of about 30%, the cable is amortized only in the low-cost scenario. Here, it should be stressed that building a cable between the USA and Europe is not primarily a for-profit investment. The goal is to carry out an investment which will be beneficial for the social welfare. Thus, any amortization period which is less than the lifetime of the project is considered positive as it is expected to bring benefits to the society.

Table B.3: Amortization period (in years) for a high-cost and a low-cost projection of the cable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable Costs</th>
<th>Utilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Cost</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Cost</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix B.2. Connecting Europe with the USA through the windfarm in Greenland

The connection of the wind farm in Greenland to both continents facilitates electricity trade between Europe and the USA. In this paragraph we investigate if the costs for building the line between Greenland and the USA could be covered from the revenues generated from electricity trade. In our analysis for Greenland in Ref. [8], we calculated that 50% of the cable energy capacity over a year can be used for electricity trade. Two effects should be considered here. First, in reality the wind farm will often operate at capacities lower than its maximum. Therefore, on the one hand it can often occur that only a part of the transmission capacity will be available for electricity trade, but on the other hand this capacity will be available for longer than 50% of the time. Second, it may occur that during periods when there is substantial price difference between the two continents, the wind farm is producing power at the same time. As a result the transmission capacity cannot be used for profitable arbitrage. Due to these two effects, we assume that the "transmission capacity factor" for electricity trade will be about 30% while in the best case it will not exceed 50%. Table B.4 presents the amortization period for three utilization levels of the cable: 30%, 50%, and 80%. (The 80% level is only presented for comparison reasons with Table B.3.) As we can observe, for a utilization of 30% to 50%, the route Greenland-North America can be paid back within 10-17 years. It should be noted, that the payback period here does not take into account the additional profits the wind farm will have by selling its produced wind power always at peak prices. It is also interesting to point out that the route Germany-New York over Greenland has a similar distance to the route Germany-New York through a direct submarine cable between Oporto, in Portugal, and New York.

Table B.4: Amortization period (in years) for the transmission path Tasiilaq – New York for a high-cost and a low-cost projection of the cable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable Costs</th>
<th>Utilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Cost</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Cost</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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